

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,480	12/06/2001	Mark John McGrath	450110-03716	3228	
22850 7590 01/17/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST	TREET	While a recombi, i.e.	CHOWDHURY, NIGAR		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
				·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/006,480	MCGRATH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nigar Chowdhury	2621	

	Nigar Chowdhury	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply most of the final rejection.	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl nust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 16.07(f).	ng date of the final rejecti IE FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amoun hortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS		£	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	OTE below);	
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	., ,		ine issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 13-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		rill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation "and including color distribution data", "derived from said color distribution data" in independent claims raises new issue that would require further consideration and search.

